Gastrointestinal Foreign Bodies; Food For Thought

Emily Ulfelder, BVetMed, DACVS-SA

Small Animal Surgeon Cape Cod Vet Specialists



Outline

- Anatomy
- Physiology/Pathophysiology
- Diagnosis
- Pre-op treatment
- Surgery
- Post-op management
- Tips and tricks
- Prognosis



Introduction

- Material that won't readily pass through GIT
- Partial vs full obstruction
- Location of the foreign body
- Size, shape, material of foreign body
- Duration that the foreign body has been present

Anatomy

- Oral cavity □ esophagus □ Stomach □ Duodenum □
 Jejunum □ Ileum □ Cecum □ Colon □ Rectum □ Anus
- Stomach
 - Serosa, muscularis, submucosa, and mucosa
- Duodenum
 - Common bile duct and pancreatic duct
 - Caudal duodenal flexure
 - Anchored with duodenocolic ligament
 - Close association with pancreas







Anatomy-jejunum/ileum

• Jejunum

- Most common site for singular FB
- Ileum
 - Short, terminal portion
 - Antimesenteric vessel
 - Terminates into ileocecocolic junction



Anatomy-mesentery/omentum

• Mesentery

- Cranial mesenteric artery
- Intestinal lymphatics
- Large mesenteric plexuses
- Omentum
 - Surgeons best friend
- Submucosa
 - Holding layer



Physiology

- Segmental specialties
 - Water absorption
 - Digestion
 - Volume reservoir
 - Segmental absorption



Pathophysiology

- Large esophageal size vs. small intestine
- Causes fluid secretion, malabsorption, and accumulation orad to obstruction
- Irritation to the GI lining and pressure can cause translocation of bacteria
- Either metabolic acidosis or hypochloremic metabolic alkalosis depending on obstruction location



History and Presentation

- Vomiting, Hyporexia/Anorexia
 - Vomiting vs regurgitation?
 - Increased frequency of vomiting orad
- Observed foreign material
- Diarrhea?
- Hayes, JSAP 2009
 - Vomiting (87%), anorexia (72%), >10% loss of body weight (9%), diarrhea (5%), and hemorrhagic diarrhea (2%)
- Hobday, JSAP 2014
 - Vomiting (88-98%), anorexia (80-93%), **diarrhea** (16-23%)



History and Presentation

Linear Foreign Body

- May be able to visualize
 - 25% of cats, 3% of dogs of all FB animals (Hayes, 2005)
- May have more severe signs (Hobday, 2014)
 - More severe vomiting, anorexia, and lethargy
 - More frequent pain on abdominal palpation





Physical exam

- Important diagnostic tool
- Helps round out clinical picture
- Subjective and objective measurements
 - Pain on abdominal palpation
 - String under the tongue
 - BAR



- History and PE
- Blood work
- Radiographs
- AFAST/AUS



Blood work

- Hypochloremia, metabolic alkalosis, hypokalemia, hyponatremia
- No association between site of FB and derrangements
- Linear more likely associated with hyponatremia
- Hyperlactemia noted in 40% (Boag, 2005)
- Dogs with LFB had significantly lower Na+, K+ and Cl, and higher bicarbonate, Hct, and BUN (Hobday, 2014)



Radiographs

- Radiopaque lesion \rightarrow slam dunk
- Barium study?
- Intestinal distension
 - Mechanical vs. Functional ileus
 - Dogs- 1.6x the height of the body of L5
 - Cats- Maximum small intestinal diameter: endplate of L2 >4













Abdominal ultrasound

- Echogenicity differs based on composition
- Can transmit the beam or create marked acoustic shadowing
- Accumulation of fluid visible
- Increased motility in partial obstructions
- Dogs with jejunal serosa-to-serosa diameter of >1.5cm, normal wall layering, and fluid- or gas-filled lumen suspicious



Abdominal ultrasound

- Ultrasound
 - Linear foreign body
 - Increased motility
 - Signs of plication/accordion
 - Discrete linear foreign material







Pre-op treatment

- Pre-operative bloodwork
 - Evaluate dehydration level, electrolyte imbalances, concurrent disease
- Volume resuscitation
 - Begins at hospitalization and progresses through surgery and recovery
 - LRS/P'lyte +/- potassium supplementation
 - Close monitoring of weight and ins/outs



Pre-op treatment

Antibiotics

- Prophylactic is debated, most often not indicated
 - Early initiation important in septic peritonitis
- Peri-op always indicated
- "Cleanliness" of the surgery *may* dictate post-op treatment



Pre-op treatment

Antiemetics

- Cerenia is a must!
 - NK1 receptor antagonist
- Pantoprazole
 - Proton-pump inhibitor
- Ondansetron
 - 5-HT3 antagonist



To cut or not to cut

Surgery vs medical management

- Known ingestion of foreign material
- Physical exam
- Diagnostics
- Timing of surgery



To cut or not to cut

In house vs referral

- Further diagnostics needed?
- Comfort with potential need for an R &A
- Overnight staff
- Patient stability
- Cost



Gastrointestinal healing

Lag/Inflammatory

- □ First 72 hours
- □ Enterocyte proliferation, but no support
- □ Rely on suture

Proliferative/Logarithmic

- □ 3-14 days post-op
- Fibroblast production of collagen
- □ 75% normal stomach and SI strength by 14 days, 50% colonic strength



Gastrointestinal healing

Maturation

- □ 14-180 days post-op
- □ Rearrangement/maturation of collagen



Abdominal explore

• Appropriate surgical approach

- Visualization is key!
- Xiphoid to prepuce or 4th mammary
- Through explore prior to decision making

• Pass stomach tube to decompress

- Prior to gastrotomy
- In order to allow for through explore
- Decreases contamination
- Release the anchor point first.

Abdominal explore

• Milk the foreign body

- Healthier region of intestines
- Allows full assessment of the mesenteric border

• Gastrotomy

- Double layer closure
- Inverting patterns, such as Cushing and Connell

• Enterotomy

- Interrupted vs continuous suture line
- Suture vs skin staples

Intestinal viability

- Color
- Wall thickness
- Peristalsis
- Pulses



Intestinal viability



Suture choice & pattern

- Monofilament
- Limit inflammatory reaction
- Absorbability related to underlying disease
- Interrupted vs continuous
- High level of surgeon preference



Resection and anastomosis

- Hand sewn vs stapled
- Simple interrupted vs continuous
- Suture material
 - Nylon vs PDS
- Knots on luminal side of mesenteric border
- Ligasure for arterial vessels, releasing duodenal flexure



Suture line reinforcement

Omental Patch

- Can loosely layover site or lightly suture
- Provides vascular pedicle, increased lymphatic drainage, and decreases adhesions

Serosal Patch

- Shown to reliably seal contaminated/infected perforations in humans
- Place antimesenteric side of intestine over site
- Suture with 3-0 or 4-0 interrupted monofilament suture



Equipment

- Vessel sealing device
- Stapler
 - Skin vs GIT
- Bobby pins




Stapled R&A









Tips and tricks

- Place an NG tube if high obstruction
- Leak testing?
 - Leak testing reduced instance of leakage in human colorectal surgery
 - No benefit proven in vet med
- Adhesions
- GI protectants to prevent ileus
- Nocita!





Post-op Complications

- Ileus
- Septic abdomen
- SSĪ
- (Short bowel syndrome)



Prognostic factors?

- Discrete vs linear FB
- Longer duration of clinical signs
- Multiple surgeries
- Increased lactate
- Hypovolemia
- Hypoalbuminemia
- Presence of pre-op septic abdomen
- Comorbidities
- Steroids



Prognostic factors?

Outcomes of dogs undergoing immediate or delayed surgical treatment for gastrointestinal foreign body obstruction: A retrospective study by the Society of Veterinary Soft Tissue Surgery (Maxwell 2020)

- Intestinal necrosis and perforation more common when surgery was delayed
- Risk factors include:
 - Increased lactate
 - Linear foreign body
 - Timing of surgery



Prognostic factors?

Gastrointestinal foreign bodies in dogs and cats: a retrospective study of 208 cases (Hayes 2014)

- Linear FB
 - Vomiting, anorexia, lethargy, pain
 - Intestinal necrosis and R &A more likely
 - Longer hospitalization
 - More costly
 - 96% survival all around



Conclusions

- Common cases with various presentations
- Decision making important for case outcome
- Many tools in our wheelhouse!
- Communication is key



- Allard JP, Jeejeebhoy KN: Nutritional support and therapy in the short bowel syndrome. Gastroenterol Clin North Am 18(3):589–601, 1989.
- Allen DA, Smeak DD, Schertel ER: Prevalence of smallintestinal dehiscence and associated clinical factors: a retrospective study of 121 dogs. J Am Anim Hosp Assoc 28(1):70–76.1992.
- Ballantyne GH: The experimental basis of intestinal suturing. Effect of surgical technique, inflammation, and infection on enteric wound healing. Dis Colon Rectum 27(1):61–71, 1984.
- Basher AW, Fowler JD: Conservative versus surgical management of gastrointestinal linear foreign bodies in the cat. Vet Surg 16(2):135–138, 1987.
- Bellenger C: Comparison of inverting and appositional methods for anastomosis of the small intestines in cats.Vet Rec 110:265–268, 1982.
- □ Bennett RR, Zydeck FA: A comparison of single layer suture patterns for intestinal anastomosis. J Am Vet Med Assoc 157(12):2075–2080, 1970.
- Boag, AK, Acid-base electrolyte abnormalities in dogs with gastrointestinal foreign bodies. JVetInternMed, 19(6)816-821. 2005
- Hayes, g: Gastrointestinal foreign bodies in dogs and cats: a retrospective study of 208 cases. JSAP, 50: 576-583, 2009.
- Duell, Jason, Mankin, Thieman et al. Frequency of Dehiscence in Hand-Sutured and Stapled Intestinal Anastomoses in Dogs. VetSurg, 45: 100-108, 2016
- Ellison, G. Complications of Gastrointestinal Surgery in Companion Animals. Vet Clin Small Anim, 41 212 32 2011

- Redaelli CA, Schilling MK, Büchler MW: Intraoperative laser Doppler flowmetry: a predictor of ischemic injury in acute mesenteric infarction. Dig Surg 15(1):55–59, 1998.
- Oguma J, Ozawa S, Morikawa Y, et al: Knot-tying force during suturing and wound healing in the gastrointestinal tract. J Surg Res 140(1):129–134, 2007.
- Marzella L, Brotman S, Mayer J, Cowley RA: Evaluation of injured intestine with the aid of fluorescein. Am Surg 50(11):599–602, 1984
- Marquez M. Comparison of NK-1 Receptor Antagonist (Maropitant) to Morphine as a Pre-Anaesthetic Agent for Canine Ovariohysterectomy
- Maxwell AH. Outcomes of dogs undergoing immediate or delayed surgical treatment for gastrointestinal foreign body obstruction: A retrospective study by the Society of Veterinary Soft Tissue Surgery
- McLachlin A, Denton D: Omental protection of intestinal anastomosis. Am J Surg 125:134–140, 1973.
- □ Mullen KM Evaluation of intraoperative leak testing of small intestinal anastomoses performed by hand-sewn and stapled techniques in dogs: 131 cases (2008–2019)
- □ King LG: Postoperative complications and prognostic indicators in dogs and cats with septic peritonitis: 23 cases (1989–1992). J Am Vet Med Assoc 204(3):407–414, 1994.
- Kraus B. Efficacy of maropitant in preventing vomiting in dogs premedicated with hydromorphone. Vet Anaesth Analg 2013; 40: 28-34.
- □ Kraus B. Efficacy of orally administered maropitant citrate in preventing vomiting associated with hydromorphone administration in dogs. JAVMA 2014; 244: 1164–1169.
- Jones SA, Gazzaniga AB, Keller TB: The serosal patch. A surgical parachute. Am J Surg 126(2):186–196, 197



- Wylie KB, Hosgood G: Mortality and morbidity of small and large intestinal surgery in dogs and cats: 74 cases (1980–1992). J Am Anim Hosp Assoc 30(5):469–474,1994.
- White RN: Modified functional end-to-end stapled intestinal anastomosis: technique and clinical results in 15 dogs. J Sm Anim Pract 49(6):274–281, 2008.
- Weisman DL, Smeak DD, Birchard SJ, Zweigart SL: Comparison of a continuous suture pattern with a simple interrupted pattern for enteric closure in dogs and cats: 83 cases (1991–1997). J Am Vet Med Assoc 214(10):1507–1510, 1999.
- Ullman SL: Surgical stapling of the small intestine. Vet Clin North Am Sm Anim Pract 24(2):305–322, 1994. 202.
- Ullman SL, Pavletic MM, Clark GN: Open intestinal anastomosis with surgical stapling equipment in 24 dogs and cats. Vet Surg 20(6):385–391, 1991.
- Tollefson DF, Wright DJ, Reddy DJ, Kintanar EB: Intraoperative determination of intestinal viability by pulse oximetry. Ann Vasc Surg 9(4):357–360, 1995.
 - Tyrrell D, Beck C: Survey of the use of radiography vs. ultrasonography in the investigation of gastrointestinal foreign bodies in small animals. Vet Radiol Ultrasound 47(4):404–408, 2006.
 - Valverde A, Cantwell S, Hernandez J et al. Effects of acepromazine on the incidence of vomiting associated with opioid administration in dogs. Vet Anaesth Analg 2004; 31: 40-45.
 - Ralphs SC, Jessen CR, Lipowitz AJ: Risk factors for leakage following intestinal anastomosis in dogs and cats: 115 cases (1991–2000). J Am Vet Med Assoc 223(1):73–77, 2003.



- □ Bueno L, Ferre JP, Ruckebusch Y: Effects of anesthesia and surgical procedures on intestinal myoelectric activity in rats. Am J Dig Dis 23(8):690–695, 1978.
- □ Brolin RE, Semmlow JL, Sehonanda A, et al: Comparison of five methods of assessment of intestinal viability. Surg Gynecol Obstet 168(1):6–12, 1989
- Cuthbertson EM, Gilfillan RS, Burhenne HJ, Mackby M: Massive small bowel resection in the beagle, including laboratory data in severe undernutrition. Surgery 68(4): 698–705, 1970.
- □ DeHoff WD, Nelson W, Lumb WV: Simple interrupted approximating technique for intestinal anastomosis. J Am Anim Hosp Assoc 9(5):483–489, 1973.
- Dowling RH: Small bowel adaptation and its regulation. Scan J Gastroenterol Suppl 74:53–74, 1982.
- Ellison GW: Wound healing in the gastrointestinal tract. Semin Vet Med Surg 4(4):287–293, 1989.
- □ Hobday M. Linear vs non-linear gastrointestinal foreign bodies in 499 dogs: clinical presentation, management, and short term outcome. 55(11) 560-5, 2014
- □ Jansen A, Becker AE, Brummelkamp WH, et al: The importance of the apposition of the submucosal intestinal layers for primary wound healing of intestinal anastomosis. Surg Gynecol Obstet 152(1):51–58, 1981.

QUESTIONS?



NOTICE

CE credit certificates & presentation slides will be emailed to you. If you do not receive an email with this information within a week, contact Nichole *nicholemanfredi@capecodvetspecialists.com*

